

30th January 1923]

## APPENDIX B.

[Vide answer to question No. 711 asked by Mr. A. D. M. Bavotti Sahib at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 30th January 1923, page 1364 supra.]

1. The hon. the Raja of Kollengode.
2. M.R.Ry. K. K. R. Kavalappara Muppil Nayar Avargal, M.L.A.
3. „ C. G. Gopalan Nayar Avargal, Chairman, Tellicherry Municipal Council.
4. „ Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nayar Avargal, M.L.C.
5. „ Kottietth Krishnan Avargal.
6. „ Rao Bahadur P. Raman Avargal, retired Sub-Judge.
7. „ P. A. Krishna Menon Avargal, Vakil.
8. „ K. P. Raman Menon Avargal.
9. „ K. Srinivasa Rao Avargal, Estate Collector.
10. Mr. R. H. Ellis, I.C.S., Collector of Malabar.
11. M.R.Ry. C. Ramalinga Reddi Garu, M.L.C.

## II

## PETITION TO THE COUNCIL.

Diwan Bahadur R. VENKATARATNAM NAYUDU :—“ Mr. President, I beg leave to present a petition to the hon. the President and the Members of the Legislative Council. The petition relates to a subject now under the consideration of the Council, viz., the Bill to provide for the reorganization of the Madras University. It has been signed by about 80 persons residing in different parts of the Presidency, from Ganjam to Trichinopoly. The signatories include Principals of several colleges, viz., the Kallikota College, Berhampur, Raja's College, Parlakimedi, Maharaja's College, Vizianagaram, Pittapur Raja's College, Cocanada, Noble College, Masulipatam, Venkatagiri Raja's College, Nellore, St. Joseph's College, Bangalore, Voorhees College, Vellore, and St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly. Besides these Principals, Sir, the staffs of most of these colleges have also affixed their signatures. The main point is the apprehended subordinate position, in importance and usefulness, that will inevitably be assigned to the mufassal colleges under the new Bill, if passed into law. The petitioners submit that, unfavourable as has been the position of the mufassal colleges already, it is going to be made worse under the Bill, but they feel that their fears might be allayed by introducing into the Bill now under consideration certain modifications which they have suggested in the memorial. They conclude their petition with a prayer that their appeal may elicit all the sympathy and all the response which it abundantly merits—a request which I beg leave to repeat.

“ Just one word more, Sir. The signatures are contained in different copies of the same petition as they were got from different stations; and, if that be an irregularity, I request that it may be condoned. I have given them in as they are. Possibly some more signatures will be coming in and, with your permission, Sir, I shall submit them later. With these words, I beg to present the petition to the House.”